Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/770,725	YANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745
All Participants:	Status of Application: on appeal	
(1) <u>Jonathan S. Crepeau</u> .	(3)	
(2) Kevin Brown.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Kurose		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		`
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	-	•
		•
	`	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Applicant's representative and informed him that the Office's position was that the rejection grounds involving the Kurose reference was considered to be a new ground of rejection. Examiner offered Applicants the option of having prosecution reopened immediately or proceeding with a second Examiner's Answer stating the new ground of rejection. Applicants opted to proceed with the second Examiner's Answer.